United States Court of Appeals

For the Eighth Circuit

	y se the etghth ettent
	No. 17-1405
	United States of America
	Plaintiff - Appellee
	v.
	James Luellying Capehart, III
	Defendant - Appellant
- -	peal from United States District Court or the District of Minnesota - St. Paul
	Submitted: October 31, 2017 Filed: November 14, 2017 [Unpublished]
Before LOKEN, MURP	PHY, and SHEPHERD, Circuit Judges.
PER CURIAM.	
Jamas Luallyina	Canabart directly appeals the 124 months

James Luellying Capehart directly appeals the 124-month sentence the district court¹ imposed after he pleaded guilty to aggravated identity theft and conspiracy to

¹The Honorable John R. Tunheim, Chief Judge, United States District Court for the District of Minnesota.

commit bank fraud, pursuant to a plea agreement that contained a waiver of the right to appeal a sentence of less than 154 months. Capehart's counsel has moved to withdraw, and has filed a brief under <u>Anders v. California</u>, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), arguing that the sentence was procedurally and substantively unreasonable.

Upon careful review, we conclude that the waiver is valid, applicable, and enforceable. See United States v. Scott, 627 F.3d 702, 704 (8th Cir. 2010) (de novo review of validity and applicability of appeal waiver); United States v. Andis, 333 F.3d 886, 889-92 (8th Cir. 2003) (en banc) (appeal waiver will be enforced if appeal falls within scope of waiver, defendant knowingly and voluntarily entered into plea agreement and waiver, and enforcing waiver would not result in miscarriage of justice). Having independently reviewed the record under Penson v. Ohio, 488 U.S. 75 (1988), we find no nonfrivolous issues for appeal falling outside the scope of the appeal waiver.

Accordingly, we grant counsel's motion to withdraw, and we dismiss this appeal.

-2-