

United States Court of Appeals
For the Eighth Circuit

No. 17-1418

Carol Walker

Plaintiff - Appellant

v.

Nancy A. Berryhill, Acting Commissioner of Social Security

Defendant - Appellee

Appeal from United States District Court
for the Western District of Arkansas - Hot Springs

Submitted: November 09, 2017

Filed: November 15, 2017

[Unpublished]

Before GRUENDER, BOWMAN, and BENTON, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM.

Carol Walker appeals the district court's¹ order affirming the denial of disability insurance benefits. Having jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1291, this court affirms.

¹The Honorable Barry A. Bryant, United States Magistrate Judge for the Western District of Arkansas, to whom the case was referred for final disposition by consent of the parties pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(c).

Upon de novo review, this court agrees with the district court that substantial evidence on the record as a whole supports the administrative law judge's (ALJ's) weighing of the medical opinion evidence and Walker's subjective statements in formulating her residual functional capacity (RFC). *See Igo v. Colvin*, 839 F.3d 724, 728 (8th Cir. 2016) (standard of review); *Myers v. Colvin*, 721 F.3d 521, 527 (8th Cir. 2013) (RFC determination is based on all relevant evidence, including medical records, observations of treating physicians and others, and claimant's own description of her limitations). Because the ALJ properly relied on the vocational expert's (VE's) response to the hypothetical that the ALJ posed, which was consistent with the ALJ's RFC findings, there is no merit to Walker's argument concerning the VE's testimony. *See Gieseke v. Colvin*, 770 F.3d 1186, 1189 (8th Cir. 2014).

The judgment is affirmed.
