## United States Court of Appeals

For the Eighth Circuit

	y st the Otgany Ottent	
	No. 19-1971	
	United States of America	
	Plaintiff - Appellee	
	v.	
	Tondrell Darnez Gary, Jr.	
	Defendant - Appellant	
1 1	peal from United States District Counter Northern District of Iowa - Waterl	
	Submitted: December 9, 2019 Filed: December 12, 2019 [Unpublished]	
Before LOKEN, SHEPH	IERD, and ERICKSON, Circuit Judg	ges.
PER CURIAM.		
, , ,	peals the sentence imposed by the orm offense. His counsel has moved	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>The Honorable C. J. Williams, United States District Judge for the Northern District of Iowa.

and has filed a brief under Anders v. California, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), arguing that the sentence was unreasonable.

Upon careful review, we conclude that the district court did not impose a substantively unreasonable sentence. See United States v. Feemster, 572 F.3d 455, 461-62 (8th Cir. 2009) (sentences are reviewed for substantive reasonableness under deferential abuse of discretion standard; abuse of discretion occurs when court fails to consider relevant factor, gives significant weight to improper or irrelevant factor, or commits clear error of judgment in weighing appropriate factors). The record establishes that the district court adequately considered the sentencing factors listed in 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a). See United States v. Wohlman, 651 F.3d 878, 887 (8th Cir. 2011) (court need not mechanically recite § 3553(a) factors, so long as it is clear from record that court actually considered them in determining sentence).

We have also independently reviewed the record under <u>Penson v. Ohio</u>, 488 U.S. 75 (1988), and we find no non-frivolous issues for appeal. Accordingly, we affirm the judgment, and we grant counsel's motion to withdraw.

-2-