

United States Court of Appeals
For the Eighth Circuit

No. 20-1024

United States of America

Plaintiff - Appellee

v.

Peerachet Thipboonngam

Defendant - Appellant

Appeal from United States District Court
for the District of Minnesota

Submitted: July 21, 2020

Filed: July 30, 2020

[Unpublished]

Before COLLOTON, GRUENDER, and GRASZ, Circuit Judges.

PER CURIAM.

Peerachet Thipboonngam appeals after he pled guilty to sex-trafficking and money-laundering offenses, and the district court¹ imposed a sentence below the

¹The Honorable Donovan W. Frank, United States District Judge for the District of Minnesota.

advisory range under the United States Sentencing Guidelines Manual. His counsel has moved for leave to withdraw and has filed a brief under *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), suggesting the district court erred in calculating the base offense level and Thipboonngam's sentence is substantively unreasonable.

We conclude Thipboonngam waived his challenge to the base offense level. *See United States v. Evenson*, 864 F.3d 981, 983 (8th Cir. 2017) (“By raising and then withdrawing an objection . . . [a defendant] demonstrate[s] the intentional relinquishment or abandonment of his right to argue the point.”) (internal quotation marks omitted). We also conclude the district court did not impose a substantively unreasonable sentence. *See United States v. Feemster*, 572 F.3d 455, 461-62 (8th Cir. 2009) (en banc) (reviewing sentence under deferential abuse-of-discretion standard and discussing substantive reasonableness). In addition, having reviewed the record pursuant to *Penon v. Ohio*, 488 U.S. 75 (1988), we find no nonfrivolous issues. Accordingly, we affirm, and we grant counsel leave to withdraw.
