NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

MUFEED KALED BANNA,

Petitioner,

v.

ERIC H. HOLDER JR., Attorney General,

Respondent.

No. 06-71238

Agency No. A075-118-171

MEMORANDUM*

On Petition for Review of an Order of the Board of Immigration Appeals

> Submitted October 4, 2010^{**} San Francisco, California

Before: BEEZER, KLEINFELD, and GRABER, Circuit Judges.

Mufeed Kaled Banna, a native and citizen of Israel, petitions for review of

the Board of Immigration Appeals' ("BIA") order dismissing his appeal from an

immigration judge's decision denying his application for cancellation of removal.

We have jurisdiction under 8 U.S.C. § 1252. We review for substantial evidence

* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by Ninth Circuit Rule 36-3.

^{**} The panel unanimously finds this case suitable for decision without oral argument. Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

FILED

OCT 06 2010

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK U.S. COURT OF APPEALS the BIA's "good moral character" determination, <u>Ramos v. INS</u>, 246 F.3d 1264, 1266 (9th Cir. 2001), and we deny the petition for review.

Substantial evidence supports the BIA's determination that Banna provided false testimony for the purpose of obtaining an immigration benefit and, therefore, that he lacks the good moral character required for cancellation of removal. <u>See 8</u> U.S.C. § 1101(f)(6); <u>Ramos</u>, 246 F.3d at 1266; <u>see also Bernal v. INS</u>, 154 F.3d 1020, 1023 (9th Cir. 1998) ("An applicant's false oral statements made under oath in a question-and-answer statement before an INS officer in connection with any stage of the processing of a visa constitute false testimony within the meaning of 8 U.S.C. § 1101(f)(6).").

The record does not support Banna's remaining contentions.

PETITION DENIED.