UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

NATIVIDAD FLORES,

Petitioner,

v.

ERIC H. HOLDER, Jr., Attorney General,

Respondent.

No. 06-73114

Agency No. A090-193-044

MEMORANDUM<sup>\*</sup>

On Petition for Review of an Order of the Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted August 23, 2010\*\*

Before: LEAVY, HAWKINS, and THOMAS, Circuit Judges.

Natividad Flores, a native and citizen of Mexico, petitions for review of the

Board of Immigration Appeals' order dismissing his appeal from an immigration

judge's removal order. We have jurisdiction under 8 U.S.C. § 1252. We review de

## \* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

<sup>\*\*</sup> The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

## FILED

AUG 31 2010

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

**NOT FOR PUBLICATION** 

novo questions of law and constitutional claims, *Khan v. Holder* 584 F.3d 773, 776 (9th Cir. 2009), and we deny the petition for review.

Flores does not challenge the agency's determination that he is removable under 8 U.S.C. § 1227(a)(2)(A)(iii) based on his 1994 conviction for "continuous sexual abuse of a child" in violation of California Penal Code § 288.5.

The agency determined that Flores is ineligible for relief under former section 212(c), 8 U.S.C. § 1182(c) (repealed 1996), because his ground of removability lacks a statutory counterpart in a ground of inadmissibility. *See* 8 C.F.R. § 1212.3(f)(5). Flores' legal and constitutional challenges to this determination are unavailing. *See Abebe v. Mukasey*, 554 F.3d 1203, 1207 & 1208 n.7 (9th Cir. 2009) (en banc).

## PETITION FOR REVIEW DENIED.