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Petitioner,
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No. 07-71636
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## MEMORANDUM*

On Petition for Review of an Order of the Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted September 13, 2010**

Before: SILVERMAN, CALLAHAN, and N.R. SMITH, Circuit Judges.
Alberto Pablo Soriano-Aragon, a native and citizen of Mexico, petitions for review of the Board of Immigration Appeals' order dismissing his appeal from an immigration judge's ("IJ") decision denying his request for a continuance. We have jurisdiction under 8 U.S.C. § 1252. We review for abuse of discretion the

[^0]denial of a continuance. Ahmed v. Holder, 569 F.3d 1009, 1012 (9th Cir. 2009).

We review de novo claims of due process violations in immigration proceedings. Sandoval-Luna v. Mukasey, 526 F.3d 1243, 1246 (9th Cir. 2008). We deny the petition for review.

The agency did not abuse its discretion in denying Soriano-Aragon's request for a continuance at his November 29, 2005, hearing because he did not demonstrate good cause where he failed to present evidence that an I-140 immigrant visa petition was pending with the agency, and where the IJ had granted three prior continuance requests over the four years Soriano-Aragon's case was before the IJ. See 8 C.F.R. § 1003.29; cf. Ahmed, 569 F.3d at 1012-14. As Soriano-Aragon cannot demonstrate error, it follows that his due process claim must fail. See Lata v. INS, 204 F.3d 1241, 1246 (9th Cir. 2000) (requiring error for a due process violation).

## PETITION FOR REVIEW DENIED.


[^0]:    This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.
    ** The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. See Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

