

SEP 07 2010

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

<p>PATRICIA LEDEZMA-RIVAS,</p> <p>Petitioner,</p> <p>v.</p> <p>ERIC H. HOLDER, Jr., Attorney General,</p> <p>Respondent.</p>
--

No. 07-73282

Agency No. A075-619-131

MEMORANDUM*

On Petition for Review of an Order of the
Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted August 23, 2010**

Before: LEAVY, HAWKINS, and THOMAS, Circuit Judges.

Patricia Ledezma-Rivas, a native and citizen of Mexico, petitions for review of the Board of Immigration Appeals’ order dismissing her appeal from an immigration judge’s decision denying her application for cancellation of removal.

We have jurisdiction under 8 U.S.C. § 1252. We review questions of law de novo,

* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

** The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

Tapia v. Gonzales, 430 F.3d 997, 999 (9th Cir. 2005), and we deny the petition for review.

The agency properly determined that Ledezma-Rivas was ineligible for cancellation of removal because she failed to meet the seven-year continuous physical presence requirement. *See* 8 U.S.C. § 1229b(a)(2) (requiring seven years of continuous presence after having been “admitted in any status”); *see also* 8 U.S.C. § 1101(a)(13)(A) (defining “admitted” as “the lawful entry of an alien into the United States after inspection and authorization by an immigration officer.”).

PETITION FOR REVIEW DENIED.