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## MEMORANDUM* <br> MEMORANDUM

## On Petition for Review of an Order of the Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted September 13, 2010**
Before: SILVERMAN, CALLAHAN, and N.R. SMITH, Circuit Judges.

Gurvinder Singh, a native and citizen of India, petitions for review of the Board of Immigration Appeals' ("BIA") order dismissing his appeal from an immigration judge's decision denying his application for asylum. We have jurisdiction under 8 U.S.C. § 1252. We review for substantial evidence, Kaiser v.

[^0]Ashcroft, 390 F.3d 653, 657 (9th Cir. 2004), and we grant the petition for review and remand.

The record does not compel reversal of the BIA's determination that Singh failed to establish past persecution. See Prasad v. INS, 47 F.3d 336, 339-40 (9th Cir. 1995) (detention, interrogation, and beating did not compel finding of past persecution). However, because Singh claims he fears persecution at the hands of the Indian police, he is entitled to the presumption that the threat of persecution exists nationwide and that relocation is therefore unreasonable. See Melkonian v. Ashcroft, 320 F.3d 1061, 1070 (9th Cir. 2003). Because the agency erred by failing to apply the presumption that relocation would not be reasonable, we remand for the BIA to consider in the first instance whether the government rebutted the presumption by a preponderance of the evidence. See Fakhryv. Mukasey, 524 F.3d 1057, 1065 (9th Cir. 2008).

## PETITION FOR REVIEW GRANTED; REMANDED.


[^0]:    This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.
    ** The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. See Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

