

NOV 01 2010

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK  
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

SHAORYUN QU,

Petitioner,

v.

ERIC H. HOLDER, Jr., Attorney General,

Respondent.

No. 07-74683

Agency No. A096-362-786

MEMORANDUM\*

On Petition for Review of an Order of the  
Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted October 19, 2010\*\*

Before: O’SANNLAIN, LEAVY, and TALLMAN, Circuit Judges.

Shaoyun Qu, a native and citizen of China, petitions for review of the Board of Immigration Appeals’ order dismissing his appeal from an immigration judge’s (“IJ”) decision denying his application for asylum and withholding of removal.

---

\* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

\*\* The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

We have jurisdiction under 8 U.S.C. § 1252. We review for substantial evidence, *Don v. Gonzales*, 476 F.3d 738, 741 (9th Cir. 2007), and we deny the petition.

Substantial evidence supports the IJ's adverse credibility determination because the IJ made a specific and cogent demeanor finding, *see Arulampalam v. Ashcroft*, 353 F.3d 679, 686 (9th Cir. 2003), and because the inconsistencies with respect to Qu's home address, as well as his admission that he fabricated this address on his asylum application, are material and go to the heart of his claims, *see Don*, 476 F.3d at 741-43. Further, because the IJ had reason to question Qu's credibility, Qu's failure to provide corroborating evidence undermines his claim. *See Sidhu v. INS*, 220 F.3d 1085, 1091-92 (9th Cir. 2000). Absent credible testimony, Qu's asylum and withholding of removal claims fail. *See Farah v. Ashcroft*, 348 F.3d 1153, 1156 (9th Cir. 2003).

**PETITION FOR REVIEW DENIED.**