

SEP 02 2010

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff - Appellee,

v.

DOUGLAS COLEMAN CRAWFORD,

Defendant - Appellant.

No. 08-50576

D.C. No. 2:05-cr-00818-GHK-1

MEMORANDUM*

Appeal from the United States District Court
for the Central District of California
George H. King, District Judge, Presiding

Submitted August 30, 2010**
Pasadena, California

Before: **KOZINSKI**, Chief Judge, **O’SANNLAIN** and **GOULD**, Circuit
Judges.

Sufficient evidence supported the district court’s finding that defendant
distributed fifty or more grams of cocaine base on two separate occasions. See
Jackson v. Virginia, 443 U.S. 307, 318–19 (1979). This isn’t a case where the

* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent
except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

** The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision
without oral argument. See Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

district court approximated drug quantity from circumstantial evidence. See United States v. Culps, 300 F.3d 1069, 1076 (9th Cir. 2002). The weights were corroborated by expert testimony and defendant's own admissions as to his conduct, and we give special deference to the district court's credibility determinations. See United States v. Haswood, 350 F.3d 1024, 1028 (9th Cir. 2003).

AFFIRMED.