

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

FILED

SEP 01 2010

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK  
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

HILDA JUAN MARTINEZ,

Petitioner,

v.

ERIC H. HOLDER, Jr., Attorney General,

Respondent.

No. 08-71550

Agency No. A096-339-714

MEMORANDUM\*

On Petition for Review of an Order of the  
Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted August 10, 2010\*\*

Before: LEAVY, HAWKINS, and IKUTA, Circuit Judges .

Hilda Juan Martinez, a native and citizen of Mexico, petitions pro se for review of the Board of Immigration Appeals' ("BIA") order denying her motion to reopen removal proceedings based on ineffective assistance of counsel. We have

---

\* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

\*\* The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

jurisdiction under 8 U.S.C. § 1252. We review for abuse of discretion the denial of a motion to reopen, and review de novo constitutional questions, including claims of ineffective assistance of counsel. *Mohammed v. Gonzales*, 400 F.3d 785, 791-92 (9th Cir. 2005). We deny the petition for review.

The BIA did not abuse its discretion in denying Martinez's motion to reopen because she failed to establish that her former attorney's alleged ineffective assistance resulted in prejudice. *See id.* at 793-94 (to prevail on an ineffective assistance of counsel claim, a petitioner must demonstrate prejudice).

**PETITION FOR REVIEW DENIED.**

---