

OCT 25 2010

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK  
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

<p>DILBAG SINGH, a.k.a. Dilbagh Singh,</p> <p>Petitioner,</p> <p>v.</p> <p>ERIC H. HOLDER, Jr., Attorney General,</p> <p>Respondent.</p>
--

No. 08-72427

Agency No. A072-136-814

MEMORANDUM\*

On Petition for Review of an Order of the  
Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted October 19, 2010\*\*

Before: O’SCANNLAIN, TALLMAN, and BEA, Circuit Judges.

Dilbag Singh, a native and citizen of India, petitions for review of the Board of Immigration Appeals’ (“BIA”) order denying his motion to reopen. We have jurisdiction under 8 U.S.C. § 1252. We review for abuse of discretion the denial of

---

\* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

\*\* The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

a motion to reopen, *Singh v. Gonzales*, 491 F.3d 1090, 1095 (9th Cir. 2007), and we deny the petition for review.

The BIA acted within its discretion in denying as untimely Singh's motion to reopen because the motion was filed almost 10 years after the BIA's final removal order, *see* 8 C.F.R. § 1003.2(c)(2), and Singh did not show that he acted with the due diligence required for equitable tolling, *see Singh*, 491 F.3d at 1096-97.

**PETITION FOR REVIEW DENIED.**