NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FILED

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

OCT 25 2010

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

ROCIO RUIZ PERALES,

Petitioner,

V.

ERIC H. HOLDER, Jr., Attorney General,

Respondent.

No. 08-73426

Agency No. A095-305-045

MEMORANDUM*

On Petition for Review of an Order of the Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted October 19, 2010**

Before: O'SCANNLAIN, TALLMAN, and BEA, Circuit Judges.

Rocio Ruiz Perales, a native and citizen of Mexico, petitions for review of the Board of Immigration Appeals' ("BIA") order denying her motion to reopen removal proceedings. We have jurisdiction under 8 U.S.C. § 1252. We review for abuse of discretion the denial of a motion to reopen, and review de novo questions

This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. See Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

of law. *Mohammed v. Gonzales*, 400 F.3d 785, 791-92 (9th Cir. 2005). We deny the petition for review.

Contrary to Ruiz Perales' contention, the BIA applied the correct legal standard in denying her motion to reopen. *See Ordonez v. INS*, 345 F.3d 777, 785 (9th Cir. 2003).

The BIA acted within its broad discretion in determining that the evidence submitted with Ruiz Perales' motion was insufficient to warrant reopening. *See Singh v. INS*, 295 F.3d 1037, 1039 (9th Cir. 2002) (the BIA's denial of a motion to reopen shall be reversed only if it is "arbitrary, irrational, or contrary to law").

PETITION FOR REVIEW DENIED.

2 08-73426