NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

EDGAR SANCHEZ ESCOBEDO; MARTHA SANCHEZ,

Petitioners,

v.

ERIC H. HOLDER, Jr., Attorney General,

Respondent.

No. 08-73673

Agency Nos. A075-753-388 A075-753-269

MEMORANDUM^{*}

On Petition for Review of an Order of the Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted August 10, 2010**

Before: O'SCANNLAIN, HAWKINS, and IKUTA, Circuit Judges.

Edgar Sanchez Escobedo and Martha Sanchez, natives and citizens of

Mexico, petition pro se for review of the Board of Immigration Appeals' ("BIA")

order denying their motion to reopen removal proceedings. Our jurisdiction is

* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

^{**} The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

FILED

AUG 30 2010

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK U.S. COURT OF APPEALS governed by 8 U.S.C. § 1252. We deny in part and dismiss in part the petition for review.

In their opening brief, petitioners fail to address, and therefore have waived any challenge to, the BIA's denial of their motion to reopen. *See Martinez-Serrano v. INS*, 94 F.3d 1256, 1259-60 (9th Cir. 1996) (issues not specifically raised and argued in a party's opening brief are waived).

We lack jurisdiction to review the BIA's March 24, 2008, order dismissing petitioners' direct appeal because this petition for review is not timely as to that order. *See* 8 U.S.C. § 1252(b)(1); *Singh v. INS*, 315 F.3d 1186, 1188 (9th Cir. 2003).

PETITION FOR REVIEW DENIED in part; DISMISSED in part.