UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

KARINE MELKONYAN,

Petitioner,

v.

ERIC H. HOLDER, Jr., Attorney General,

Respondent.

No. 08-74733

Agency No. A075-751-750

MEMORANDUM*

On Petition for Review of an Order of the Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted October 19, 2010**

Before: O'SCANNLAIN, TALLMAN, and BEA, Circuit Judges.

Karine Melkonyan, a native of the former Soviet Union and a citizen of

Uzbekistan, petitions for review of the Board of Immigration Appeals' ("BIA")

order denying her motion to reopen based on ineffective assistance of counsel. We

have jurisdiction under 8 U.S.C. § 1252. We review for abuse of discretion the

* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

FILED

OCT 26 2010

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

^{**} The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

denial of a motion to reopen, *Iturribarria v. INS*, 321 F.3d 889, 894 (9th Cir. 2003), and we deny the petition for review.

The BIA did not abuse its discretion in denying Melkonyan's motion to reopen as untimely because she filed the motion to reopen almost five years after the BIA's April 8, 2003, order, *see* 8 C.F.R. § 1003.2(c)(2), and Melkonyan failed to establish that she acted with the due diligence required for equitable tolling, *see Iturribarria*, 321 F.3d at 897 (stating that equitable tolling is available where "petitioner is prevented from filing because of deception, fraud, or error, as long as the petitioner acts with due diligence"); *cf. Ghahremani v. Gonzales*, 498 F.3d 993, 1000 (9th Cir. 2007).

PETITION FOR REVIEW DENIED.