NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

RAYMOND SHERMAN, Sr.,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

v.

SUZZAN HUBBARD, Director of Corrections; N. GRANNIS, Chief of Inmate Appeals; D. K. SISTO, Warden; E. PRYOR, SAOI(S) CSP Solano; S. CERVANTES, Correctional Counselor II,

Defendants - Appellees.

No. 09-15669

D.C. No. 2:08-cv-01605-GEB-KJM

MEMORANDUM^{*}

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Eastern District of California Garland E. Burrell, Jr., District Judge, Presiding

Submitted August 10, 2010 **

Before: HAWKINS, McKEOWN, and IKUTA, Circuit Judges.

Raymond Sherman, a California state prisoner, appeals pro se from the

district court's dismissal of his complaint for failure to state claim. We have

* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

^{**} The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

FILED

AUG 27 2010

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK U.S. COURT OF APPEALS jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1291. We review de novo a district court's decision to dismiss a complaint for failure to state a claim. *Edwards v. Marin Park, Inc.*, 356 F.3d 1058, 1061 (9th Cir. 2004). We affirm.

Sherman's claim that the defendants prematurely authorized a restitution payment from his prison account does not state a cognizable constitutional claim because he has an adequate remedy under the California Tort Claims Act. *See Zimmerman v. City of Oakland*, 255 F.3d 734, 737-38 (9th Cir. 2001).

Likewise, Sherman's arguments about the inadequacies of the prison appeals process do not state a cognizable constitutional claim because Sherman does not have a constitutional right to a particular grievance process. *See Ramirez v. Galazza*, 334 F.3d 850, 860 (9th Cir. 2003).

We have considered and are not persuaded by Sherman's remaining contentions.

AFFIRMED.