UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

PAUL CARRILLO,

Petitioner - Appellant,

v.

MATTHEW CATE, Warden and JERRY BROWN, Attorney General,

Respondents - Appellees.

No. 09-56527

D.C. No. 3:08-cv-02165-LAB-POR

MEMORANDUM<sup>\*</sup>

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Southern District of California Larry A. Burns, District Judge, Presiding

Submitted November 16, 2010\*\*

Before: TASHIMA, BERZON, and CLIFTON, Circuit Judges.

California state prisoner Paul Carrillo appeals from the district court's

judgment dismissing his 28 U.S.C. § 2254 habeas petition as untimely. We have

jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 2253, and we affirm.

## \* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

<sup>\*\*</sup> The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

## FILED

NOV 22 2010

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

**NOT FOR PUBLICATION** 

Carrillo contends that the district court erred in dismissing his petition as untimely because the one-year limitations period set forth in 28 U.S.C. § 2244(d)(1) does not apply to petitions challenging administrative decisions. This contention is foreclosed by *Shelby v. Bartlett*, 391 F.3d 1061, 1063-65 (9th Cir. 2004). To the extent that Carrillo is requesting us to overturn the holding of *Shelby*, we may not do so. *See United States v. Camper*, 66 F.3d 229, 232 (9th Cir. 1995).

The pro se motion to file an amicus brief received by the court on September 8, 2010, is deemed filed and is denied.

## AFFIRMED.