## **NOT FOR PUBLICATION**

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

JOSE R. CASTRO-LOPEZ and MARIA L. MORENO-AGUILAR,

Petitioners,

v.

ERIC H. HOLDER, Jr., Attorney General,

Respondent.

No. 09-72667

Agency Nos. A073-937-330 A073-937-331

MEMORANDUM<sup>\*</sup>

On Petition for Review of an Order of the Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted April 5, 2011\*\*

Before: B. FLETCHER, CLIFTON, and BEA, Circuit Judges.

Jose R. Castro-Lopez and Maria L. Moreno-Aguilar, natives and citizens of

Mexico, petition for review of the Board of Immigration Appeals' ("BIA") order

denying their motion to reopen based on ineffective assistance of counsel. We

have jurisdiction under 8 U.S.C. § 1252. We review for abuse of discretion the

## \* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

<sup>\*\*</sup> The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

## FILED

APR 15 2011

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK U.S. COURT OF APPEALS denial of a motion to reopen, and review de novo constitutional claims, including ineffective assistance of counsel claims. *Mohammed v. Gonzales*, 400 F.3d 785, 791-92 (9th Cir. 2005). We deny the petition for review.

The BIA did not abuse its discretion in denying petitioners' motion to reopen on the ground that they failed to show they were prejudiced by their former representative's alleged conduct. *See Iturribarria v. INS*, 321 F.3d 889, 899-90 (9th Cir. 2003) (prejudice results when the performance of counsel "was so inadequate that it may have affected the outcome of the proceedings") (internal quotation marks and citation omitted).

## PETITION FOR REVIEW DENIED.