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## MEMORANDUM*

Appeal from the United States District Court for the District of Arizona
Joseph M. Hood, District Judge, Presiding**

Submitted September 10, 2012 ${ }^{* * *}$

Before: WARDLAW, CLIFTON, and N.R. SMITH, Circuit Judges.

Baldemar Loarca-Loarca appeals from the 60 -month sentence imposed
following his guilty-plea conviction for reentry of a removed alien, in violation of

[^0]8 U.S.C. § 1326(a). We have jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1291, and we affirm. Loarca-Loarca contends that the district court erred by failing to consider properly the sentencing factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553 (a), and by failing to explain adequately the reasons for the sentence. The court acknowledged LoarcaLoarca's arguments, and explained that they were insufficient to warrant a belowGuidelines sentence in light of Loarca-Loarca's criminal history, one of the section 3553(a) sentencing factors. Its failure to do more was not plain error. See United States v. Valencia-Barragan, 608 F.3d 1103, 1108 (9th Cir. 2010).

Loarca-Loarca also contends that his sentence is substantively unreasonable.

In light of the totality of the circumstances and the section 3553 (a) sentencing factors, the sentence is substantively reasonable. See Gall v. United States, 552 U.S. 38, 51 (2007).

## AFFIRMED.


[^0]:    This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9 th Cir. R. 36-3.
    ** The Honorable Joseph M. Hood, Senior United States District Court Judge for the Eastern District of Kentucky, sitting by designation.
    *** The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. See Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

