

DEC 31 2012

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK  
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff - Appellee,

v.

TERRY BRAY,

Defendant - Appellant.

No. 12-50032

D.C. No. 2:07-cr-00685-ABC

MEMORANDUM\*

Appeal from the United States District Court  
for the Central District of California  
Audrey B. Collins, District Judge, Presiding

Submitted December 19, 2012\*\*

Before: GOODWIN, WALLACE, and FISHER, Circuit Judges.

Terry Bray appeals pro se from the district court’s order denying his motion for a sentence reduction under 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2). We have jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1291. We review de novo whether a district court has jurisdiction to

---

\* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

\*\* The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. See Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

modify a sentence under section 3582. *See United States v. Wesson*, 583 F.3d 728, 730 (9th Cir. 2009). We affirm.

Bray contends that he is eligible for a sentence reduction under Amendment 750, which amended the drug quantity table in U.S.S.G. § 2D1.1 for offenses involving crack cocaine. However, Bray was sentenced as a career offender pursuant to U.S.S.G. § 4B1.1. Therefore, his sentence was not based on a Guidelines range that has been lowered, and the district court lacked jurisdiction to modify his sentence. *See* 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2); *Wesson*, 583 F.3d at 731-32.

**AFFIRMED.**