## **NOT FOR PUBLICATION**

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff - Appellee,

v.

RUBI FRANCIS CARDONA,

Defendant - Appellant.

No. 13-10348

D.C. No. 4:13-cr-50017-RCC

MEMORANDUM\*

Appeal from the United States District Court for the District of Arizona James G. Carr, District Judge, Presiding<sup>\*\*</sup>

Submitted May 13, 2014<sup>\*\*\*</sup>

Before: CLIFTON, BEA, and WATFORD, Circuit Judges.

Rubi Francis Cardona appeals from the district court's judgment and

challenges the revocation of supervised release and four-month sentence imposed

## \* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

\*\* The Honorable James G. Carr, Senior United States District Judge for the Northern District of Ohio, sitting by designation.

<sup>\*\*\*</sup> The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

## **FILED**

MAY 23 2014

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK U.S. COURT OF APPEALS upon revocation. Pursuant to Anders v. California, 386 U.S. 738 (1967),

Cardona's counsel has filed a brief stating that there are no grounds for relief, along with a motion to withdraw as counsel of record. We have provided Cardona the opportunity to file a pro se supplemental brief. No pro se supplemental brief or answering brief has been filed.

Our independent review of the record pursuant to *Penson v. Ohio*, 488 U.S. 75, 80 (1988), discloses no arguable grounds for relief on direct appeal.

Counsel's motion to withdraw is **GRANTED.** 

## AFFIRMED.