NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff - Appellee,

v.

WILLIAM SLATTERY,

Defendant - Appellant.

No. 13-50275

D.C. No. 2:04-cr-00950-DDP

MEMORANDUM*

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Central District of California Dean D. Pregerson, District Judge, Presiding

Submitted June 12, 2014**

Before: McKEOWN, WARDLAW, and M. SMITH, Circuit Judges.

William Slattery appeals from the district court's judgment and challenges

the revocation of supervised release and the 24-month sentence imposed upon

revocation. Pursuant to Anders v. California, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), Slattery's

counsel has filed a brief stating that there are no grounds for relief, along with a

* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

FILED

JUN 17 2014

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

^{**} The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

motion to withdraw as counsel of record. We have provided Slattery the opportunity to file a pro se supplemental brief. No pro se supplemental brief or answering brief has been filed.

Our independent review of the record pursuant to *Penson v. Ohio*, 488 U.S. 75, 80 (1988), discloses no arguable grounds for relief on direct appeal.

Counsel's motion to withdraw is **GRANTED.**

AFFIRMED.