

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

NOV 13 2015

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

MARIA L. ROS,

Plaintiff - Appellant,

v.

DEUTSCHE BANK NATIONAL TRUST COMPANY AS TRUSTEE FOR DOWNEY 2004-AR2; CENTRAL MORTGAGE COMPANY D/B/A CENTRAL MORTGAGE LOAN SERVICING COMPANY; U.S. BANK, NATIONAL ASSOCIATION; DOES 1-10, INCLUSIVE,

Defendants - Appellees.

No. 13-56300

D.C. No. 3:12-cv-01929-BEN-WVG

MEMORANDUM*

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Southern District of California Roger T. Benitez, District Judge, Presiding

Submitted November 6, 2015**

Before: FARRIS, TROTT, and BYBEE, Circuit Judges.

^{*} This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

^{**} The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

Maria L. Ros appeals from the district court's dismissal of her complaint without leave to amend. We have jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1291, and we affirm.

Ros contends that de novo review by this Court will reveal that amendment could save her complaint and that the district court's denial of leave to amend was therefore improper. However, Ros does not contest any of the district court's bases for its dismissal of her claim, nor does she set forth any facts or legal arguments which she would add to her complaint if amendment were permitted. In the absence of any argument by which this Court can reevaluate Ros's complaint, we cannot say that leave to amend would not have been futile. *See Cervantes v. Countrywide Home Loans, Inc.*, 656 F.3d 1034, 1041 (9th Cir. 2011).

AFFIRMED.