NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff - Appellee,

v.

WALTER ROY YOUNG, d.b.a. Walter R. Young,

Defendant - Appellant.

Nos. 14-10522 14-10523

D.C. Nos. 2:12-cr-00837-DGC 2:13-cr-01653-DGC

MEMORANDUM*

Appeal from the United States District Court for the District of Arizona David G. Campbell, District Judge, Presiding

Submitted April 13, 2016**

Before: FARRIS, TALLMAN, and BYBEE, Circuit Judges.

In these consolidated appeals, Walter Roy Young appeals his jury-trial

conviction and 18-month sentence for being a felon in possession of a firearm and

ammunition, in violation of 18 U.S.C. §§ 922(g)(1) and 924(a)(2), and the

* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by 9th Cir. R. 36-3.

^{**} The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

FILED

APR 18 2016

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK U.S. COURT OF APPEALS revocation of probation and 12-month sentence imposed thereupon. Pursuant to *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), Young's counsel has filed a brief stating that there are no grounds for relief, along with a motion to withdraw as counsel of record. We have provided Young the opportunity to file a pro se supplemental brief. No pro se supplemental brief or answering brief has been filed.

Our independent review of the record pursuant to *Penson v. Ohio*, 488 U.S. 75, 80 (1988), discloses no arguable grounds for relief on direct appeal.

Counsel's motion to withdraw is **GRANTED**.

AFFIRMED.