**FILED** 

## **NOT FOR PUBLICATION**

AUG 01 2016

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

## UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

## FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

CATHERINE QUINOLA PALPAL-LATOC,

Petitioner,

v.

LORETTA E. LYNCH, Attorney General,

Respondent.

No. 14-72520

Agency No. A079-300-183

MEMORANDUM\*

On Petition for Review of an Order of the Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted July 26, 2016\*\*

Before: SCHROEDER, CANBY, and CALLAHAN, Circuit Judges.

Catherine Quinola Palpal-Latoc, a native and citizen of the Philippines, petitions for review of the Board of Immigration Appeals' ("BIA") order dismissing her appeal from an immigration judge's ("IJ") decision denying her

<sup>\*</sup> This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by Ninth Circuit Rule 36-3.

<sup>\*\*</sup> The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

applications for adjustment of status and a waiver under 8 U.S.C. § 1182(i). We dismiss the petition for review.

We lack jurisdiction to consider Palpal-Latoc's contention that her due process rights were violated by the IJ's bias, because Palpal-Latoc did not raise this contention in her brief to the BIA. *See Tijani v. Holder*, 628 F.3d 1071, 1080 (9th Cir. 2010) (the court lacks jurisdiction to consider legal claims not presented in an alien's administrative proceedings before the agency).

## PETITION FOR REVIEW DISMISSED.

2 14-72520