## **NOT FOR PUBLICATION**

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

SANTIAGO VEGA-RUIZ,

Petitioner,

v.

LORETTA E. LYNCH, Attorney General,

Respondent.

No. 14-73214

Agency No. A070-639-636

MEMORANDUM\*

On Petition for Review of an Order of the Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted June 14, 2016\*\*

Before: BEA, WATFORD, and FRIEDLAND, Circuit Judges.

Santiago Vega-Ruiz, a native and citizen of Guatemala, petitions pro se for

review of the Board of Immigration Appeals' ("BIA") order denying his motion to

reopen removal proceedings. We have jurisdiction under 8 U.S.C. § 1252. We

review for abuse of discretion the BIA's denial of a motion to reopen. Najmabadi

**FILED** 

JUN 21 2016

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

<sup>\*</sup> This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by Ninth Circuit Rule 36-3.

<sup>\*\*</sup> The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

v. Holder, 597 F.3d 983, 986 (9th Cir. 2010). We deny the petition for review.

The BIA did not abuse its discretion in denying Vega-Ruiz's motion to reopen as untimely, where Vega-Ruiz filed it more than two years after the final order of removal, *see* 8 C.F.R. § 1003.2(c)(2), and he did not establish changed circumstances in Guatemala as to overcome the time limitation for motions to reopen, *see* 8 C.F.R. § 1003.2(c)(3)(ii); *Najmabadi*, 597 F.3d at 987-90 (evidence must be "qualitatively different" to warrant reopening).

## PETITION FOR REVIEW DENIED.