## NOT FOR PUBLICATION

**FILED** 

## UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

AUG 17 2017

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

## FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

No. 15-30084

Plaintiff-Appellee,

D.C. No. 2:11-cr-00035-DWM

V.

MEMORANDUM\*

WILLIAM JOSEPH VOTH, Jr.,

Defendant-Appellant.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the District of Montana Donald W. Molloy, District Judge, Presiding

Submitted August 9, 2017\*\*

Before: SCHROEDER, TASHIMA, and M. SMITH, Circuit Judges.

William Joseph Voth, Jr., appeals from the district court's order denying his motion for a sentence reduction under 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2). We have jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1291, and we affirm.

Voth contends that he is entitled to a sentence reduction under Amendment

<sup>\*</sup> This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by Ninth Circuit Rule 36-3.

<sup>\*\*</sup> The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

782 to the Sentencing Guidelines. We review de novo whether a district court had authority to modify a sentence under section 3582(c)(2). *See United States v. Leniear*, 574 F.3d 668, 672 (9th Cir. 2009). The record makes clear that the district court imposed Voth's sentence for reasons unrelated to the guideline range lowered by Amendment 782. Voth is, therefore, ineligible for a sentence reduction because his sentence was not "based on a sentencing range that has subsequently been lowered by the Sentencing Commission." 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2); *United States v. Rodriguez-Soriano*, 855 F.3d 1040, 1045-46 (9th Cir. 2017).

## AFFIRMED.

2 15-30084