

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

FILED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

APR 18 2017

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff-Appellee,

v.

ANGELINO RODRIGUEZ-BARCENAS,
a.k.a. Manuel Honorio Rodriguez,

Defendant-Appellant.

No. 15-50394

D.C. No. 3:13-cr-07096-DMS

MEMORANDUM*

Appeal from the United States District Court
for the Southern District of California
Dana M. Sabraw, District Judge, Presiding

Submitted April 11, 2017**

Before: GOULD, CLIFTON, and HURWITZ, Circuit Judges.

Angelino Rodriguez-Barcenas appeals from the revocation of supervised release and the 24-month sentence imposed upon revocation. Pursuant to *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), Rodriguez-Barcenas's counsel has filed a brief stating that there are no grounds for relief, along with a motion to withdraw as

* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by Ninth Circuit Rule 36-3.

** The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. See Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

counsel of record.

Because Rodriguez-Barcenas has fully served his sentence and is not subject to a term of supervised release, we dismiss this appeal as moot. *See Spencer v. Kemna*, 523 U.S. 1, 14 (1998); *United States v. Palomba*, 182 F.3d 1121, 1123 (9th Cir. 1999).

Counsel's motion to withdraw is **GRANTED.**

DISMISSED.