

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

JUL 10 2017

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

MICHAEL TATE,

Plaintiff-Appellant,

v.

FAMILY AUTO GROUP, INC., a California corporation,

Defendant-Appellee.

No. 15-56087

D.C. No.

2:14-cv-08738-SJO-MRW

MEMORANDUM*

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Central District of California S. James Otero, District Judge, Presiding

Submitted February 6, 2017**
Pasadena, California

Before: GRABER, BYBEE, and CHRISTEN, Circuit Judges.

We vacate the district court's dismissal of this action, and we remand for reconsideration in light of <u>Karczewski v. DCH Mission Valley, LLC</u>, No. 15-55633.

VACATED and REMANDED. Costs on appeal awarded to Plaintiff.

^{*} This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by Ninth Circuit Rule 36-3.

^{**} The panel unanimously concludes that this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

FILED

Tate v. Family Auto Grp., Inc., No. 15-56087

JUL 10 2017

BYBEE, Circuit Judge, acquiescing dubitante:

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

I acquiesce dubitante for the reasons articulated in my separate opinion in

Karczewski v. DCH Mission Valley, LLC, No. 15-55633.