## NOT FOR PUBLICATION

**FILED** 

## UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

MAY 18 2017

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

## FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

YAN SUI; PEI-YU YANG,

No. 15-56130

Plaintiffs-Appellants,

D.C. No. 8:15-cv-00059-JAK-AJW

V.

MEMORANDUM\*

RICHARD ALAN MARSHACK, an individual; et al.,

Defendants-Appellees.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Central District of California
John A. Kronstadt, District Judge, Presiding

Submitted May 8, 2017\*\*

Before: REINHARDT, LEAVY, and NGUYEN, Circuit Judges.

Yan Sui and Pei-Yu Yang appeal pro se from the district court's order dismissing their 42 U.S.C. § 1983 action alleging federal and state law claims against, among others, a bankruptcy judge and the chapter 7 trustee representing Sui's bankruptcy estate. We have jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1291. We review

<sup>\*</sup> This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by Ninth Circuit Rule 36-3.

<sup>\*\*</sup> The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

for abuse of discretion a court's imposition of sanctions under its inherent power. *Chambers v. NASCO, Inc.*, 501 U.S. 32, 55 (1991). We affirm.

The district court did not abuse its discretion by imposing terminating sanctions under its "inherent equitable powers to dismiss actions for ... abusive litigation practices." *Televideo Sys. Inc. v. Heidenthal*, 826 F.2d 915, 916 (9th Cir. 1987).

We reject as without merit plaintiffs' contentions that the district court demonstrated bias.

Appellants' request for judicial notice (Docket Entry No. 12) is denied. **AFFIRMED.** 

2 15-56130