## NOT FOR PUBLICATION

**FILED** 

## UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

NOV 22 2019

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

## FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

FELIPE DE JESUS IBARRA MORENO,

Petitioner,

No. 15-70144

Agency No. A075-111-778

V.

WILLIAM P. BARR, Attorney General,

Respondent.

MEMORANDUM\*

On Petition for Review of an Order of the Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted November 18, 2019\*\*

Before: CANBY, TASHIMA, and CHRISTEN, Circuit Judges.

Felipe de Jesus Ibarra Moreno, a native and citizen of Mexico, petitions for review of the Board of Immigration Appeals' order dismissing his appeal from an immigration judge's decision denying his applications for withholding of removal and relief under the Convention Against Torture ("CAT"). We have jurisdiction under 8 U.S.C. § 1252. We review for substantial evidence the agency's factual

<sup>\*</sup> This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by Ninth Circuit Rule 36-3.

<sup>\*\*</sup> The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

findings. *Silaya v. Mukasey*, 524 F.3d 1066, 1070 (9th Cir. 2008). We deny the petition for review.

Substantial evidence supports the agency's determination that Ibarra Moreno failed to demonstrate a nexus between the harm he experienced or fears in Mexico and a protected ground. *See INS v. Elias-Zacarias*, 502 U.S. 478, 483 (1992) (an applicant "must provide *some* evidence of [motive], direct or circumstantial" (emphasis in original)); *see also Zetino v. Holder*, 622 F.3d 1007, 1016 (9th Cir. 2010) ("An [applicant's] desire to be free from harassment by criminals motivated by theft or random violence by gang members bears no nexus to a protected ground."). Thus, Ibarra Moreno's withholding of removal claim fails.

We do not reach Ibarra Moreno's remaining contentions regarding withholding of removal. *See Recinos De Leon v. Gonzales*, 400 F.3d 1185, 1189 (9th Cir. 2005) ("We may affirm the [agency] only on grounds set forth in the opinion under review.").

Substantial evidence also supports the agency's denial of CAT relief because

Ibarra Moreno failed to show it is more likely than not that he would be tortured by

2 15-70144

or with the consent or acquiescence of the government if returned to Mexico. *See Aden v. Holder*, 589 F.3d 1040, 1047 (9th Cir. 2009).

## PETITION FOR REVIEW DENIED.

3 15-70144