

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

FILED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

OCT 26 2017

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

ARAKSI SEROPOVNA
TOKRAMADZHIAN, AKA Araksi
Seropovna Tokramdzhyan,

Petitioner,

v.

JEFFERSON B. SESSIONS III, Attorney
General,

Respondent.

No. 15-71369

Agency No. A028-137-853

MEMORANDUM*

On Petition for Review of an Order of the
Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted October 23, 2017**

Before: McKEOWN, WATFORD, and FRIEDLAND, Circuit Judges.

Araksi Seropovna Tokramadzhyan, a native and citizen of Armenia,
petitions for review of an immigration judge's ("IJ") determination under 8 C.F.R.
§ 1208.31(a) that she did not have a reasonable fear of persecution or torture and

* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent
except as provided by Ninth Circuit Rule 36-3.

** The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision
without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

thus is not entitled to relief from her reinstated removal order. We have jurisdiction under 8 U.S.C. § 1252. *See Martinez v. Sessions*, No. 14-70339, 2017 WL 4552543 (9th Cir. Oct. 12, 2017). We review for substantial evidence the IJ's factual findings, *Andrade-Garcia v. Lynch*, 828 F.3d 829, 833 (9th Cir. 2016), and we deny the petition for review.

Substantial evidence supports the IJ's conclusion that Tokramadzhyan failed to establish a reasonable possibility of future persecution in Armenia on account of a protected ground. *See Hoxha v. Ashcroft*, 319 F.3d 1179, 1185 (9th Cir. 2003) (to qualify for withholding of removal, a petitioner must show that it is more probable than not that she would suffer future persecution); *see also Nagoulko v. INS*, 333 F.3d 1012, 1018 (9th Cir. 2003) (possibility of future persecution "too speculative").

Substantial evidence also supports the conclusion that Tokramadzhyan failed to demonstrate a reasonable possibility of torture by or with the consent or acquiescence of the Armenian government. *See Andrade-Garcia*, 828 F.3d at 836-37.

The government's unopposed motion to supplement the administrative record (Docket Entry No. 14) is granted.

PETITION FOR REVIEW DENIED.