## **NOT FOR PUBLICATION**

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

JOSE ALFARO-AREVANO, AKA Jose Miguel Alfaro, AKA Jesus Alfaro-Arevalo, AKA Jesus Manuel Alfaro-Arevano,

Petitioner,

v.

LORETTA E. LYNCH, Attorney General,

Respondent.

No. 15-71917

Agency No. A077-190-788

MEMORANDUM\*

On Petition for Review of an Order of the Board of Immigration Appeals

Submitted December 14, 2016\*\*

Before: WALLACE, LEAVY, and FISHER, Circuit Judges.

Jose Alfaro-Arevano, a native and citizen of Mexico, petitions pro se for

review of the Board of Immigration Appeals' order dismissing his appeal from an

immigration judge's decision denying his request for administrative closure and

## \* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by Ninth Circuit Rule 36-3.

<sup>\*\*</sup> The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

## **FILED**

DEC 23 2016

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK U.S. COURT OF APPEALS denying his application for cancellation of removal. We dismiss the petition for review.

We lack jurisdiction to review the agency's denial of administrative closure. *See Diaz-Covarrubias v. Mukasey*, 551 F.3d 1114, 1120 (9th Cir. 2009) (this court lacks jurisdiction to review the denial of administrative closure for lack of a sufficiently meaningful standard to evaluate the decision).

To the extent Alfaro-Arevano challenges the agency's denial of cancellation of removal, we lack jurisdiction to review the discretionary determination that Alfaro-Arevano failed to show the requisite exceptional and extremely unusual hardship to a qualifying relative. *See Martinez-Rosas v. Gonzales*, 424 F.3d 926, 930 (9th Cir. 2005).

## **PETITION FOR REVIEW DISMISSED.**