NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff-Appellee,

V.

JOSE ALFREDO GARCIA-RENTERIA,

Defendant-Appellant.

No. 16-10291

D.C. No. 2:16-cr-00032-SMM

MEMORANDUM*

Appeal from the United States District Court for the District of Arizona Stephen M. McNamee, District Judge, Presiding

Submitted May 8, 2017**

Before: REINHARDT, LEAVY, and NGUYEN, Circuit Judges.

Jose Alfredo Garcia-Renteria appeals from the district court's judgment and

challenges his guilty-plea conviction and 15-month sentence for reentry of a

removed alien, in violation of 8 U.S.C. § 1326. Pursuant to Anders v. California,

386 U.S. 738 (1967), Garcia-Renteria's counsel has filed a brief stating that there

* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by Ninth Circuit Rule 36-3.

** The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

FILED

MAY 11 2017

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK U.S. COURT OF APPEALS are no grounds for relief, along with a motion to withdraw as counsel of record. We have provided Garcia-Renteria the opportunity to file a pro se supplemental brief. No pro se supplemental brief or answering brief has been filed.

Garcia-Renteria waived his right to appeal his conviction and sentence. Our independent review of the record pursuant to *Penson v. Ohio*, 488 U.S. 75, 80 (1988), discloses no arguable issue as to the validity of the waiver. *See United States v. Watson*, 582 F.3d 974, 986-88 (9th Cir. 2009). We accordingly dismiss the appeal. *See id.* at 988.

Counsel's motion to withdraw is **GRANTED**.

DISMISSED.