

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

FILED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

OCT 27 2017

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK  
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

No. 16-30119

Plaintiff-Appellee,

D.C. No. 3:05-cr-05431-RBL

v.

MEMORANDUM\*

JERMAINE D. HENDERSON, a.k.a. Moet,

Defendant-Appellant.

Appeal from the United States District Court  
for the Western District of Washington  
Ronald B. Leighton, District Judge, Presiding

Submitted October 23, 2017\*\*

Before: McKEOWN, WATFORD, and FRIEDLAND, Circuit Judges.

Jermaine D. Henderson appeals from the revocation of supervised release and the 36-month sentence imposed upon revocation. Pursuant to *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), Henderson's counsel has filed a brief stating that there are no grounds for relief, along with a motion to withdraw as counsel of

---

\* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by Ninth Circuit Rule 36-3.

\*\* The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. See Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

record. We have provided Henderson the opportunity to file a pro se supplemental brief. No pro se supplemental brief or answering brief has been filed.

Our independent review of the record pursuant to *Penson v. Ohio*, 488 U.S. 75, 80 (1988), discloses no arguable grounds for relief on direct appeal.

Accordingly, we affirm the revocation and sentence.

Counsel's motion to withdraw is **GRANTED**.

**AFFIRMED.**