

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

FILED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

JUL 18 2017

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

MARIE-LOUISE PAUSON,

Plaintiff-Appellant,

v.

BAYVIEW LOAN SERVICING, LLC,

Defendant-Appellee.

No. 16-35800

D.C. No. 3:15-cv-05612-RBL

MEMORANDUM*

Appeal from the United States District Court
for the Western District of Washington
Ronald B. Leighton, District Judge, Presiding

Submitted July 11, 2017**

Before: CANBY, KOZINSKI, and HAWKINS, Circuit Judges.

Marie-Louise Pauson appeals pro se from the district court's judgment dismissing her action alleging a violation of the Truth in Lending Act ("TILA").

We review de novo questions of our own jurisdiction. *Hunt v. Imperial Merchant Servs., Inc.*, 560 F.3d 1137, 1140 (9th Cir. 2009).

* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by Ninth Circuit Rule 36-3.

** The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

Because the foreclosure sale of the property has already been completed, we cannot grant the relief Pauson requests and we dismiss the appeal as moot. *See Vegas Diamond Props., LLC v. FDIC*, 669 F.3d 933, 936 (9th Cir. 2012) (“[T]he sale of the real properties prevents this Court from granting the requested relief and accordingly renders this appeal moot.”); *Am. Cas. Co. of Reading, Pa. v. Baker*, 22 F.3d 880, 896 (9th Cir. 1994) (a case is moot when there is no longer a present controversy as to which effective relief can be granted).

DISMISSED.