## NOT FOR PUBLICATION

**FILED** 

## UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

JUL 5 2017

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

## FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

In re:	<b>ROBERT</b>	W. HUNT,	M.D., a
Medical Corporation,			

Debtor.

PELI POPOVICH HUNT,

Appellant,

v.

DAVID M. GOODRICH, Chapter 7 Trustee; PETER C. ANDERSON, United States Trustee,

Appellees.

No. 16-55679

D.C. No. 2:15-cv-00667-AG

MEMORANDUM\*

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Central District of California Andrew J. Guilford, District Judge, Presiding

Submitted June 26, 2017\*\*

Before: PAEZ, BEA, and MURGUIA, Circuit Judges.

<sup>\*</sup> This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by Ninth Circuit Rule 36-3.

<sup>\*\*</sup> The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

Peli Popovich Hunt appeals pro se from the district court's orders denying her post-judgment motions "for rulings on leave to file as a vexatious litigant and return of bonds," to disqualify the chapter 7 trustee, and for reconsideration. We have jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. §§ 158(d) and 1291. We affirm.

In the opening brief, Hunt fails to address how the district court erred in denying her motions. As a result, Hunt has waived her challenge to the district court's orders. *See Smith v. Marsh*, 194 F.3d 1045, 1052 (9th Cir. 1999) ("[O]n appeal, arguments not raised by a party in its opening brief are deemed waived."); *Greenwood v. FAA*, 28 F.3d 971, 977 (9th Cir. 1994) ("We review only issues which are argued specifically and distinctly in a party's opening brief.").

All pending motions are denied.

AFFIRMED.

2 16-55679