NOT FOR PUBLICATION

FILED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

APR 13 2018

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff-Appellee,

V.

CARLOS ESTRELLA CAMBRANIS, a.k.a. Carlos Estrella, a.k.a. Carlos Estrella-Cambranis,

Defendant-Appellant.

Nos. 17-10387 17-10388

D.C. Nos. 4:16-cr-00243-JSW 4:13-cr-00146-JSW

MEMORANDUM*

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Northern District of California Jeffrey S. White, District Judge, Presiding

Submitted April 11, 2018**

Before: SILVERMAN, PAEZ, and OWENS, Circuit Judges.

In these consolidated appeals, Carlos Estrella Cambranis appeals the 50-month sentence imposed following his guilty-plea conviction for illegal reentry following deportation, in violation of 8 U.S.C. § 1326, and the 12-month

^{*} This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by Ninth Circuit Rule 36-3.

^{**} The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

consecutive sentence imposed upon revocation of supervised release. We have jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1291, and we affirm.

Cambranis contends that the 62-month sentence is substantively unreasonable because his mitigating arguments concerning his history and background, his reasons for returning to the United States, and his law-abiding behavior after his return warranted a lower sentence. The district court did not abuse its discretion. *See Gall v. United States*, 552 U.S. 38, 51 (2007). The sentence imposed is substantively reasonable in light of the 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a) sentencing factors and the totality of the circumstances, including the need to deter. *See Gall*, 552 U.S. at 51.

Moreover, the court properly exercised its discretion to impose consecutive terms in light of Cambranis's breach of the court's trust. *See* U.S.S.G. § 7B1.3(f); *United States v. Simtob*, 485 F.3d 1058, 1063 (9th Cir. 2007).

AFFIRMED.