NOT FOR PUBLICATION

FILED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

AUG 14 2017

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

No. 17-30015

Plaintiff-Appellee,

D.C. No. 2:09-cr-00024-DWM

V.

MEMORANDUM*

JUDD JAMES SCHWARTZ,

Defendant-Appellant.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the District of Montana Donald W. Molloy, District Judge, Presiding

Submitted August 9, 2017**

Before: SCHROEDER, TASHIMA, and M. SMITH, Circuit Judges.

Judd James Schwartz appeals from the revocation of supervised release and the 10-month sentence imposed upon revocation. Pursuant to *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967), Schwartz's counsel has filed a brief stating that there are no grounds for relief, along with a motion to withdraw as counsel of record. We have

^{*} This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by Ninth Circuit Rule 36-3.

^{**} The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

provided Schwartz the opportunity to file a pro se supplemental brief. No pro se supplemental brief or answering brief has been filed.

Our independent review of the record pursuant to *Penson v. Ohio*, 488 U.S. 75, 80 (1988), discloses no arguable grounds for relief on direct appeal.

Counsel's motion to withdraw is **GRANTED.**

AFFIRMED.

2 17-30015