## NOT FOR PUBLICATION

**FILED** 

## UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

DEC 26 2017

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

## FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

RAPHEAL G. RUSSELL,

No. 17-35697

Plaintiff-Appellant,

D.C. No. 2:17-ev-00698-RSM

V.

MEMORANDUM\*

MYONG SUK MUELLER; et al.,

Defendants-Appellees.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Western District of Washington Ricardo S. Martinez, Chief Judge, Presiding

Submitted December 18, 2017\*\*

Before: WALLACE, SILVERMAN, and BYBEE, Circuit Judges.

Rapheal G. Russell appeals pro se from the district court's judgment dismissing for lack of subject matter jurisdiction his action arising from a property dispute. We have jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1291. We review de novo. *Rundgren v. Wash. Mut. Bank, FA*, 760 F.3d 1056, 1060 (9th Cir. 2014). We

<sup>\*</sup> This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by Ninth Circuit Rule 36-3.

<sup>\*\*</sup> The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

affirm.

The district court properly dismissed Russell's action for lack of subject matter jurisdiction because Russell failed to allege a federal question or jurisdiction based on diversity of citizenship. *See* 28 U.S.C. § 1331 (conferring jurisdiction on district courts in "civil actions arising under the Constitution, laws, or treaties of the United States"); *Caterpillar, Inc. v. Lewis*, 519 U.S. 61, 68 (1996) (28 U.S.C. § 1332(a) applies only when the state citizenship of each plaintiff is diverse from each defendant).

We do not consider matters not specifically and distinctly raised and argued in the opening brief. *See Padgett v. Wright*, 587 F.3d 983, 985 n.2 (9th Cir. 2009).

We do not consider documents not presented to the district court because they are not part of the record on appeal. *See United States v. Elias*, 921 F.2d 870, 874 (9th Cir. 1990).

## AFFIRMED.

2 17-35697