

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

FILED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

JUL 22 2019

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK  
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

ANITA WASHINGTON,

Plaintiff-Appellant,

v.

ABECASSIS MANAGEMENT; et al.,

Defendants-Appellees.

No. 18-56514

D.C. No. 2:18-cv-07689-PSG-GJS

MEMORANDUM\*

Appeal from the United States District Court  
for the Central District of California  
Philip S. Gutierrez, District Judge, Presiding

Submitted July 15, 2019\*\*

Before: SCHROEDER, SILVERMAN, and CLIFTON, Circuit Judges.

Anita Washington appeals pro se from the district court's order denying reconsideration of the district court's order dismissing Washington's 42 U.S.C. § 1983 action alleging due process and equal protection violations arising out of her eviction from her apartment. We have jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1291.

---

\* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by Ninth Circuit Rule 36-3.

\*\* The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

We review for an abuse of discretion. *Sch. Dist. No. 1J, Multnomah Cty., Or. v. ACandS, Inc.*, 5 F.3d 1255, 1262 (9th Cir. 1993). We affirm.

The district court did not abuse its discretion by denying Washington's motion for reconsideration because Washington failed to establish any basis for such relief. *See id.* at 1262-63 (setting forth grounds for reconsideration under Fed. R. Civ. P. 59(e) and 60(b)).

We do not consider matters not specifically and distinctly raised and argued in the opening brief. *See Padgett v. Wright*, 587 F.3d 983, 985 n.2 (9th Cir. 2009).

**AFFIRMED.**