

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

FILED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

NOV 25 2019

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

No. 19-10031

Plaintiff-Appellee,

D.C. No.

4:17-cr-00198-JAS-DTF-1

v.

ALI MUKHTAR OSMAN,

MEMORANDUM*

Defendant-Appellant.

Appeal from the United States District Court
for the District of Arizona
James A. Soto, District Judge, Presiding

Submitted November 18, 2019**

Before: CANBY, TASHIMA, and CHRISTEN, Circuit Judges.

Ali Mukhtar Osman appeals from the district court's judgment and challenges his jury-trial conviction and sentence of time served plus ten days for unlawful bringing in of a firearm or ammunition, in violation of 18 U.S.C.

§§ 922(l), 924(a)(1)(C). Pursuant to *Anders v. California*, 386 U.S. 738 (1967),

* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by Ninth Circuit Rule 36-3.

** The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

Osman's counsel has filed a brief stating that there are no grounds for relief, along with a motion to withdraw as counsel of record. We have provided Osman the opportunity to file a pro se supplemental brief. No pro se supplemental brief or answering brief has been filed.

Our independent review of the record pursuant to *Penson v. Ohio*, 488 U.S. 75, 80 (1988), discloses no arguable grounds for relief on direct appeal.

Counsel's motion to withdraw is **GRANTED**.

AFFIRMED.