

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

FILED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

DEC 16 2019

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK  
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

KENNETH A. FRIEDMAN,

No. 19-16136

Plaintiff-Appellant,

D.C. No. 3:17-cv-00433-MMD-  
WGC

v.

NATHANIEL WOODS, Chief Psychologist  
at NNCC; et al.,

MEMORANDUM\*

Defendants-Appellees,

and

ISIDRO BACA, Warden; et al.,

Defendants.

Appeal from the United States District Court  
for the District of Nevada  
Miranda M. Du, District Judge, Presiding

Submitted December 11, 2019\*\*

Before: WALLACE, CANBY, and TASHIMA, Circuit Judges.

Nevada state prisoner Kenneth A. Friedman appeals pro se from the district

---

\* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by Ninth Circuit Rule 36-3.

\*\* The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. See Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

court's order denying his motion for a preliminary injunction in his 42 U.S.C. § 1983 action alleging various claims. We have jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1292(a)(1). We review for an abuse of discretion. *Jackson v. City & County of San Francisco*, 746 F.3d 953, 958 (9th Cir. 2014). We affirm.

The district court did not abuse its discretion by denying a preliminary injunction because Friedman failed to establish a relationship between the requested injunctive relief and the underlying retaliation claims in the operative complaint. *See Pac. Radiation Oncology, LLC v. Queen's Med. Ctr.*, 810 F.3d 631, 636 (9th Cir. 2015) (absent a sufficient nexus between the claims raised in a motion for injunctive relief and the claims set forth in the underlying complaint, the district court lacks authority to grant the relief requested).

**AFFIRMED.**