

NOT FOR PUBLICATION

FILED

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

DEC 14 2022

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK  
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

In re: PRAVEEN KHURANA,

No. 20-35054

Debtor,

D.C. No. 3:19-cv-00117-RHW

PRAVEEN KHURANA,

MEMORANDUM\*

Appellant,

v.

STATE OF IDAHO, Child Support Services,

Appellee.

Appeal from the United States District Court  
for the District of Idaho  
Robert H. Whaley, District Judge, Presiding

Submitted December 8, 2022\*\*

Before: WALLACE, TALLMAN, and BYBEE, Circuit Judges.

Praveen Khurana appeals pro se from the district court's order affirming the bankruptcy court's order dismissing his adversary proceeding. We have

---

\* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by Ninth Circuit Rule 36-3.

\*\* The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 158(d). We affirm.

In his opening brief, Khurana failed to address how the district court or bankruptcy court erred and has therefore waived his challenge to the dismissal of his adversary proceeding. *See Indep. Towers of Wash. v. Washington*, 350 F.3d 925, 929 (9th Cir. 2003) (explaining that “we will not consider any claims that were not actually argued in appellant’s opening brief”); *Greenwood v. FAA*, 28 F.3d 971, 977 (9th Cir. 1994) (noting that “[w]e will not manufacture arguments for an appellant . . .”).

**AFFIRMED.**