**NOT FOR PUBLICATION**

FILED

NOV 25 2022

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

| | |
|---|---|
| CARINA CONERLY,<br><br>Plaintiff-Appellant,<br><br>v.<br><br>YEE YANG; CAROLINE WENZEL ELEMENTARY SCHOOL; SHARIF ROLDAN TARPIN; JULIE G. YAP; SACRAMENTO SUPERIOR COURT; SACRAMENTO COUNTY UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT,<br><br>Defendants-Appellees. | No. 22-15281<br><br>D.C. No. 2:21-cv-01618-WBS-DB<br><br>MEMORANDUM[*] |

Appeal from the United States District Court
for the Eastern District of California
William B. Shubb, District Judge, Presiding

Submitted November 15, 2022[**]

Before: CANBY, CALLAHAN, and BADE, Circuit Judges.

Carina Conerly appeals pro se from the district court's judgment dismissing her action alleging claims federal claims arising from state court custody

[*] This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by Ninth Circuit Rule 36-3.

[**] The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

proceedings. We have jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. § 1291. We review de novo a dismissal for lack of subject matter jurisdiction on the basis of the *Rooker-Feldman* doctrine. *Wolfe v. Strankman*, 392 F.3d 358, 362 (9th Cir. 2004). We affirm.

The district court properly dismissed Conerly's action because it is a "forbidden de facto appeal" of a state court custody judgment and raises issues that are "inextricably intertwined" with that judgment. *Noel v. Hall*, 341 F.3d 1148, 1158, 1163 (9th Cir. 2003) (discussing the *Rooker-Feldman* doctrine); *see also Cooper v. Ramos*, 704 F.3d 772, 779 (9th Cir. 2012) (noting claims are "inextricably intertwined" for purposes of the *Rooker-Feldman* doctrine where "the relief requested in the federal action would effectively reverse the state court decision or void its ruling" (citation and internal quotation marks omitted)).

**AFFIRMED.**