NOT FOR PUBLICATION

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

JOHN H. JACKSON,

Plaintiff-Appellant,

v.

N. AKABIKE,

Defendant-Appellee.

No. 22-16109

D.C. No. 1:19-cv-01442-JLT-EPG

MEMORANDUM*

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Eastern District of California Jennifer L. Thurston, District Judge, Presiding

Submitted December 8, 2022**

Before: WALLACE, TALLMAN, and BYBEE, Circuit Judges.

California state prisoner John H. Jackson appeals pro se from the district

court's summary judgment in her 42 U.S.C. § 1983 action alleging deliberate

indifference to her serious medical needs. We have jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C.

§ 1291. We review de novo, Toguchi v. Chung, 391 F.3d 1051, 1056 (9th Cir.

* This disposition is not appropriate for publication and is not precedent except as provided by Ninth Circuit Rule 36-3.

** The panel unanimously concludes this case is suitable for decision without oral argument. *See* Fed. R. App. P. 34(a)(2).

FILED

DEC 16 2022

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK U.S. COURT OF APPEALS 2004), and we affirm.

The district court properly granted summary judgment because Jackson failed to raise a genuine dispute of material fact as to whether defendant was deliberately indifferent in treating Jackson's stomach issues. *See id.* at 1057-60 (prison officials act with deliberate indifference only if they know of and disregard a risk to the prisoner's health; medical malpractice, negligence, or difference of opinion concerning the course of treatment does not amount to deliberate indifference); *Hallett v. Morgan*, 296 F.3d 732, 746 (9th Cir. 2002) (a prisoner alleging deliberate indifference based on delay in treatment must show that the delay caused significant harm).

We do not consider arguments and allegations raised for the first time on appeal. *See Padgett v. Wright*, 587 F.3d 983, 985 n.2 (9th Cir. 2009).

We do not consider documents not filed with the district court. *See United States v. Elias*, 921 F.2d 870, 874 (9th Cir. 1990).

AFFIRMED.