NOTE: This disposition is nonprecedential.

United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit

2007-1578

SUMITOMO MITSUBISHI SILICON CORPORATION (also known as Sumco), and SUMCO USA CORPORATION (also known as Sumco USA),

Plaintiffs-Appellants,

٧.

MEMC ELECTRONIC MATERIALS, INC.,

Defendant-Appellee.

R. Terrance Rader, Rader, Fishman & Grauer PLLC, of Bloomfield Hills, Michigan, argued for plaintiffs-appellants. With him on the brief were <u>Linda Dupont Mettes</u> and <u>Leigh C. Taggart</u>.

Robert M. Evans, Jr. Senniger Powers LLP, of St. Louis, Missouri, argued for defendant-appellee. With him on the brief were <u>David W. Harlan</u> and <u>Marc W.</u> Vander Tuig.

Appealed from: United States District Court for the Northern District of California

Judge Saundra Brown Armstrong

NOTE: This disposition is nonprecedential.

United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit

2007-1578

SUMITOMO MITSUBISHI SILICON CORPORATION (also known as Sumco), and SUMCO USA CORPORATION (also known as Sumco USA),

Plaintiffs-Appellants,

٧.

MEMC ELECTRONIC MATERIALS, INC.,

Defendant-Appellee.

Appeal from United States District Court for the Northern District of California in Case No. 05-CV-2133, Judge Saundra Brown Armstrong.

DECIDED: December 5, 2008

Before NEWMAN, PLAGER, and SCHALL, <u>Circuit Judges</u>.

PER CURIAM.

The court concludes that jurisdiction of this appeal is properly with the Federal Circuit, for the right to relief depends on resolution of a substantial question of patent law.

On the merits, in accordance with Federal Circuit Rule 36 we summarily affirm the district court's judgment.