NOTE: This order is nonprecedential.

United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit

MICROPROCESSOR ENHANCEMENT CORPORATION AND MICHAEL H. BRANIGIN,

Plaintiffs-Appellants,

 \mathbf{v} .

BUG LABS, INC., Defendant-Appellee.

2010-1474

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Central District of California in case no. 09-CV-0607, Judge Stephen V. Wilson.

MICROPROCESSOR ENHANCEMENT CORPORATION AND MICHAEL H. BRANIGIN,

Plaintiffs-Appellants,

 \mathbf{v}

EMULEX CORPORATION,

Defendant-Appellee.

2010-1475

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Central District of California in case no. 09-CV-0605, Judge Stephen V. Wilson.
MICROPROCESSOR ENHANCEMENT CORPORATION AND MICHAEL H. BRANIGIN, Plaintiffs-Appellants,
v.
TEXAS INSTRUMENTS INCORPORATED, Defendant-Appellee,
and
ARM LTD., Defendant-Appellee.
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

2010-1476

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Central District of California in case no. 08-CV-1123, Judge Stephen V. Wilson.

MICROPROCESSOR ENHANCEMENT CORPORATION AND MICHAEL H. BRANIGIN,

 $Plaintiffs ext{-}Appellants,$

v.

MINDSPEED TECHNOLOGIES, INC., Defendant-Appellee,

and

ARM LTD.,

Defendant-Appellee.

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Central District of California in case no. 09-CV-0603, Judge Stephen V. Wilson.

MICROPROCESSOR ENHANCEMENT CORPORATION AND MICHAEL H. BRANIGIN,

Plaintiffs-Appellants,

v.

ARCHOS, INC., Defendant-Appellee.

2010-1479

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Central District of California in case no. 09-CV-0606, Judge Stephen V. Wilson.

MICROPROCESSOR ENHANCEMENT CORPORATION AND MICHAEL BRANIGIN,

Plaintiffs-Appellants,

 \mathbf{v} .

STMICROELECTRONICS NV AND STMICROELECTRONICS, INC.,

Defendants-Appellees,

and

ARM LTD.,

Defendant-Appellee.

2010-1484

Appeal from the United States District Court for the Central District of California in case no. 08-CV-1039, Judge Stephen V. Wilson.

ON MOTION

ORDER

The appellants move to consolidate the abovecaptioned appeals and to issue a briefing schedule.

Upon consideration thereof,

IT IS ORDERED THAT:

- (1) The motions are granted. The revised official caption is reflected above.
- (2) The appellants' opening brief is due within 30 days of the date of filing of this order. The appellees should calculate their brief due dates from the date of service of the appellants' opening brief. Appellees represented by the same counsel or law firm may only file a combined appellees' brief for those cases. Any appellee that appears in more than one case may only file a combined appellees' brief for those cases. The appellant

should calculate the due date for its combined consolidated reply brief from the latter day of service of any appellee's brief.

FOR THE COURT

DEC 13 2010

Date

/s/ Jan Horbaly Jan Horbaly Clerk

cc: Roderick G. Dorman, Esq.
Blaney Harper, Esq.
Bruce S. Sostek, Esq.
Joseph E. Thomas, Esq.
Kevin P. Anderson, Esq.
Gary N. Frischling, Esq.
Chad S. Campbell, Esq.

U.S. COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE FEDERAL CIRCUIT DEC 13 2010

> JAN HORBALY CLERK

s8