NOTE: This disposition is nonprecedential.

## United States Court of Appeals for the Federal Circuit

ENOVA TECHNOLOGY CORP., Appellant

v

SEAGATE TECHNOLOGY (US) HOLDINGS INC., SEAGATE TECHNOLOGY LLC, Appellees

Appenees

2016 - 1287

Appeal from the United States Patent and Trademark Office, Patent Trial and Appeal Board in No. IPR2014-00683.

## JUDGMENT

DARRYL MICHAEL WOO, Vinson & Elkins LLP, San Francisco, CA, argued for appellant. Also represented by CHAO WANG; JANICE TA, Austin, TX.

DAVID J.F. GROSS, Faegre Baker Daniels LLP, Minneapolis, MN, argued for appellees. Also represented by LUCAS J. TOMSICH, JULIE WAHLSTRAND; CALVIN L. LITSEY, East Palo Alto, CA; RICHARD M. MARSH, JR., Denver, CO.  $\ensuremath{\text{THIS}}\xspace$  having been heard and considered, it is

Ordered and Adjudged:

PER CURIAM (NEWMAN, TARANTO, and CHEN, *Circuit Judges*).

## AFFIRMED. See Fed. Cir. R. 36.

ENTERED BY ORDER OF THE COURT

<u>March 20, 2017</u> Date <u>/s/ Peter R. Marksteiner</u> Peter R. Marksteiner Clerk of Court